

Table of contents

1	Introduction.....	2
2	Overview of the Media Concentration Report 2017	4
2.1	Chapter 1: Defining the Flemish media industry	4
2.2	Chapter 2: Flemish media groups.....	5
2.3	Chapter 3 : Information on media concentration	9
2.4	Chapter 4: Stimulating diversity - remedies and suggestions for policy makers.....	11
2.5	Chapter 5: General Conclusion.....	12
3	Main findings of the Media Concentration Report 2017	13
4	Table of contents of the Media Concentration Report 2017	16
5	Translation of the list of figures and tables.....	19

1 Introduction

The task of reporting on media concentration was granted to the Flemish Media Regulator (*Vlaamse Regulator voor de media (VRM)*) by the Flemish media decree.

On a yearly basis VRM presents a report to the Flemish policy makers containing information on the positions occupied by agents in the Flemish media sector. This report is also made available to the general public (via website and print copies).

The current text aims at making VRM's report on media concentration 2017 accessible to English speakers.

The underlying text will function as a manual on how to find a way in the Dutch version of the report, rather than as a translation of the full text of the report.

This manual consists of

- an introduction describing the content of the report
- an overview of the different chapters
- the main findings of the media concentration report 2017
- a translation of the table of contents of the report
- a translation of the lists of tables and figures

Concentration can be approached from many angles. From the publication of its first report in 2008, VRM opted for an approach from an economic point of view. We therefore focus on the relationships between the different players in the market, rather than e.g. performing content analysis.

VRM uses Michael Porter's concept of value chains in order to define the media sector.

In a value chain, all links where added value is created are identified, thus tracing a chain from content producer, over aggregator, via distributor, to the final customer.

This chain can be less or more fragmented. Within every link of the chain a different form of competition may exist (depending on the number of suppliers and their relationship to the demand in the following link).

Andersen (2002) drew a value chain for the audiovisual industry for the European Commission.




Figure 1: Value-added chain for the audiovisual industry

Source: Andersen, *European Commission (2002)*.

The markets for media products are double-sided markets. On the one hand, consumers buy media products containing information and entertainment. On the other hand, advertisers buy consumers' attention for their advertisements. The chain must thus be followed in two directions.

While studying media concentration, one can differentiate between horizontal, vertical and cross-medial concentration.




Figure 2: Different types of media concentration

Source: VRM based on M. Porter

- Horizontal concentration occurs when the number of players within one link is limited
- Vertical concentration occurs when following links in one chain are owned or controlled by a limited number of players
- Cross-medial concentration exists when there is an entanglement between the suppliers of various media products

In the first chapter of the report the Flemish media industry is defined.

Flemish media groups are described in the second chapter. Information on shareholders, company structure and product offerings is given for every media group.

In the third chapter, information on media concentration is quantified and financial results and facts and figures on popularity of media brands are given.

Throughout the report, interesting facts that do not fit the general framework are elaborated in so-called "Information Fragments", with a specific layout.

The fourth chapter gives information on measures that are taken to enhance diversity and media plurality in Flanders. It also mentions possible new legal initiatives, stressing a number of them as policy suggestions.

The conclusions of the report are formulated in chapter 5.

2 Overview of the Media Concentration Report 2017

2.1 Chapter 1: Defining the Flemish media industry

In the first chapter of the report, the Flemish media industry is defined. The value chains of radio, television, internet and print media are depicted and the players that are present in every link are identified. A colour code gives a first indication of the degree of concentration. Figure 3 is the value chain for the internet.




Figure 3: Internet value-added chain

VRM lists all companies that are active within a certain link of the value chain, together with their product offering and company number (as shown in table below).¹

UITGEVERIJEN BETALENDE DAGBLADEN

AANBIEDER	ONDERNEMINGSNUMMER	AANBOD
● De Persgroep Publishing nv	403506340	De Morgen
		Het Laatste Nieuws/De Nieuwe Gazet
● Mediahuis nv	439849666	De Standaard
		Gazet van Antwerpen
		Het Belang van Limburg
		Het Nieuwsblad/De Gentenaar
● Mediafin nv	404800301	De Tijd

¹ In Belgium, information identifying all companies is gathered in a central government-operated database, BCE. Each company has its unique identification number. A lot of information on the company can be found using the 'public search' function of the database on the website of BCE: <http://kbopub.economie.fgov.be/kbopub/zoeknummerform.html>

Table 1: Publishers of newspapers

Next to the four media types (radio, television, print media and internet), VRM describes convergence and cross-media trends in the Flemish media landscape.

We conclude the chapter with a first indication on horizontal media concentration (by counting the players present in the different links).

	Content	Aggregation	Distribution
• Radio		Radio stations with national audience (public & private)	Radio signal transmission
		Local Radio stations	
• Television	Facility companies	Television broadcasters (linear & non-linear)	Broadcasting services é Network operators
	Production companies	Exploitation companies regional television	
• Print	Photo agencies & press agencies	Publishers newspaper	Distribution press
		Publishers magazines	
		Publishers free press	
• Internet		Websites	ISP/Netweorkproviders
		Social Media Accounts	Mobiele operators
		Apps	Social Media networks
			App stores

Legend

Number of players
>50
11>50
1-10

2.2 Chapter 2: Flemish media groups

A limited number of Flemish media groups is active in the Flemish media landscape via different media products. Table 2 gives an overview. Some of these groups are joint ventures of other groups, as can be seen in Figure 4.

AANBOD MEDIAGROEPEN															
MERKNAAM	RADIO		TELEVISIE			DAGBLADEN		PERIODIEKE BLADEN		INTERNET		MOBIEL INTERNET		REGIE	ANDERE
	Content - aggregatie	Distributie	Content	Aggregatie	Distributie	Content - aggregatie	Content - aggregatie	Content - aggregatie	Distributie	Content - aggregatie	Distributie				
● Mediahuis nv	X	-	X	X	-	X	X	X	-	X	-	X	-		
● De Vijver Media nv	X	-	X	X	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	X	X		
● Telenet Group Holding nv	X	X	X	X	X	-	-	X	X	X	X	X	X		
● De Persgroep nv	X	-	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
● Mediaaan nv	X	-	X	X	X	-	-	X	-	X	X	X	-		
● Roularta Media Group nv	X	-	X	X	X	-	X	X	-	X	X	X	X		
● Proximus nv	-	X	X	X	X	-	-	X	X	X	X	X	X		
● Sanoma Media Belgium nv	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	X	-	X	-	X	-		
● Studio 100 nv	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	X	-	X	-	-	X		
● VRT nv	X	-	X	X	-	-	-	X	-	X	-	X	X		

Table 2: Media offerings of the main media groups in Flanders




Figure 4: Media groups as subsidiaries of other media groups

For each group, an overview of the shareholders and the group structure is given.




Table 3: Shareholders Concentra NV




Figure 5 Group structure Studio 100

An overview of product offerings per media group is given in a grid, citing the brand names while distinguishing between different media forms and the different stages of the value chain. An indication of the geographical reach of the product is given by a symbol.

OVERZICHT MERKEN

MERKNAAM	RADIO		TELEVISIE		DAGBLADEN		PERIODIEKE BLADEN		INTERNET		MOBIEL INTERNET		REGIE	ANDERE
	Content - aggregatie	Distributie	Content	Aggregatie	Distributie	Content - aggregatie	Content - aggregatie	Content - aggregatie	Distributie	Content - aggregatie	Distributie			
• (S-radio)														
• Woestijnvis														
• VIER														
• VIJF														
• ZES														
• DRIE														Boeken
• The Pool														

Figure 6: Brand offering grid of a group

Brand name	Product or activity under full control of the group
(Brand name)	Product or activity that was transferred to another group after the closing of the annual accounts
Brand Name	Product or activity in which the group participates
	Product available in or aimed at a part of Flanders (e.g. local television or regional newspaper)
	Product available in or aimed at the whole of Flanders
	Product with a French equivalent and aimed at the Belgian Market
	Product aimed at a foreign market

Figure 7: Legend of the brand offering grid

2.3 Chapter 3: Information on media concentration

In the 3rd chapter of its report, VRM studies concentration for each media type.

First, vertical, horizontal and cross-medial integration are briefly described.

Secondly, there is an analysis based on financial information. A table with an overview of the most recent financial information of the main players in the specific link of the value chain is given, together with a graphic of the evolution of the average of these values over the last 10 years. VRM uses the following financial values :

- 10/15: Equity
- 20/58: Assets
- 70: Turnover
- 70/76: Operating Income
- 9901: Operating profit/loss
- 9903: Profit/loss before taxes

- 9087: Average number of employees

VRM calculates the average for all companies in a sector, and the year 2007 is used as a benchmark. The CPI (consumer price index) is also included in the figures. In Belgium, all companies have to report their financial statement to the National Bank of Belgium. The financial statements can be integrally consulted on its website.²

FINANCIËLE GEGEVENS

ONDERNEMINGS- NAAM	EIGEN VERMOGEN	ACTIVA	OMZET	BEDRIJFSOPBRENGSTEN	BEDRIJWSWINST (-)	WINST (-) VOOR BELASTING	WERKNEMERS
	10/15	20/58	70	70/76A	9901	9903	9087
• Mediaaan nv	49.604.352	220.932.306	261.997.707	321.112.512	53.266.326	13.841.232	529,7
• JOEfm nv	22.335.427	23.561.800	8.758.670	9.068.784	2.630.109	2.757.187	17,3
• Vlaanderen Eén nv	-4.566.568	4.652.051	9.038.340	9.070.370	276.034	222.030	20,5

Table 4 Financial figures 2016 national private radio




Figure 8: Evolution of average values 2007–2016 national private radio

Thirdly, there is an analysis based on audience shares. This information comes mostly from CIM.³ There are several figures taken into account:

- Radio: audience shares and average listening duration April-June 2017
- Television: audience shares 2016
- Print media: paid circulation (print+digital) and total print circulation 2016
- Internet: daily average website visits 5-25 June 2017
- Social Media:
 - Facebook account: likes
 - Twitter account: followers
 - Instagram account: followers
 - YouTube channel: subscribers

These numbers were collected by the VRM in August 2017.

² <https://cri.nbb.be/bc9/web/catalog?execution=e2s1>

³ CIM (Centrum voor Informatie over de Media) is a non-profit organization whose members are advertisers, advertising agencies, media agencies and the media. CIM provides its members with current information and numbers about the reach of the most important Belgian advertising media: press, cinema, internet, radio, television and billboards. <http://www.cim.be/nl>

- Apps: the number of downloads as provided by Google Play, collected in the summer of 2017.

We measure media concentration by calculating C4 and Herfindahl (HHI) indexes. These are traditional indicators to measure concentration in an industry. The indicators can be calculated per product category, both on the basis of financial data such as sales, as well as on the basis of popularity data, e.g. viewers.

The evolution of the prices of media products is also studied in the third chapter.

Finally, the Flemish media landscape is situated in an international context.

In the conclusion of chapter 3, an overview of all the calculated indexes is given.

CONCENTRATIE (HHI)

MEDIUM	CONTENT	AGGREGATIE	DISTRIBUTIE
• Radio	Contentleveranciers	Radiogroepen = Radiozenders =	Radiosignaaltransmissie
• Televisie	Productiehuizen	Omroeporganisaties groepen = Omroeporganisaties zenders =	Omroepsignaaltransmissie
• Geschreven pers	Redacties	Kranten titels =	Distributie
	Pers- en fotoagentschappen	Kranten uitgevers =	
	Reclameregies	Tijdschriften titels =	
	Mediacentrales	Tijdschriften groepen ↗	
• Internet	Contentleveranciers	Websites =	Internetdistributie
	Reclameregies en Mediacentrales	Websites groepen ↗	

Legend

No value has been calculated
Non concentrated (value < 0,15)
Moderately concentrated (value between 0,15 and 0,25)
Strongly concentrated (value > 0,25)

The evolution (increase, decrease, status quo) in comparison to 5 years ago is visualised by using the symbols ↗, ↘, =.

2.4 Chapter 4: Stimulating diversity - remedies and suggestions for policy makers

The fourth chapter gives information on measures that are taken to enhance diversity and media plurality in Flanders. It also mentions possible new legal initiatives underlining a number of them as policy suggestions.

These measures were described according to an existing schedule that distinguishes restrictions, counterweight, economic intervention, transparency and organizational measures. Generally, it was noted that audiovisual media are subject to more rules than print media and internet.

Category	Application in Flanders
Restrictions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ownership restrictions • Regulation • Application of articles 189-192 Media Decree • DVB-T: wholesale model • Signal integrity • Net Neutrality
Counterweight	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Must-carry, Must Offer • Public service broadcaster VRT

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fund Pascal Decroos for investigative journalism • Obligation of subtitling (news) programmes
Economic interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MediAcademie • Support of regional broadcasters • Stimulation of the audiovisual sector • Project grants for media initiatives • Screen Flanders
Transparency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Media concentration report • Supervision of compliance by the public broadcasters of the Flemish Community's management • Licences, broadcasting permits, notifications • Media education programmes • Diamond project • Reporting on Net Neutrality
Organisational measures	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Founding of VRM • Belgian and European competition authority

Table 5: Policy measures to enhance diversity and media plurality

2.5 Chapter 5: General Conclusion

A general conclusion is given in the fifth chapter.

3 Main findings of the Media Concentration Report 2017

In the **first chapter** the Flemish Media sector has been described.

The local **radio** landscape is under transformation. The reassignment of local radio licenses is in progress. The licenses for country-wide radio have been prolonged, under condition that DAB+ is adopted by these stations.

For **TV** programmatic advertising by distributors Proximus and Telenet is a new topic.

Linear television stations tend to aim at more specific audiences (e.g. KADET, aimed at boys between 8 and 12, Q2 aimed at families...)

Foreign video services and platforms, and non-linear viewing gain popularity. This puts the publicity gains under pressure.

A number of Flemish local television stations are facing financial difficulties.

As far as distribution is concerned, the number of players is limited.

The number of titles of daily newspapers has been stable for many years, but there are changes in terms of ownership. The market for magazines remains unstable. A number of titles were stopped.

Printed press now aims at digital presence, with apps and presence at social media platforms.

In the distribution sector BPost takes over more and more competitors.

The different possibilities of the **internet** are intensively used. Social media, websites and apps have been added as extra channels of traditional media forms. Also, a number of news websites and web magazines that have no ties with traditional forms media emerge.

On the internet foreign companies hold key positions. The most popular social media forms, app stores and search engines are held by American companies. This has negative effects for the Flemish media sector, which publicity agencies try to counter by working together.

New possibilities for online advertising are being examined. Examples are native advertising and influencer marketing. For with 'traditional' internet advertisements efficiency losses might occur, i.e. because of doubtful internet pages, fraudulent clicks and adblockers. However, these new forms of publicity are more difficultly identifiable as publicity, and hence more controversial.

Convergence and cross mediality have become common practice in the Flemish media landscape. Media brands have become the most important anchorage points, and can be exported from one medium to another.

In the **second chapter** of the report media groups are studied. In an ever changing media landscape these groups try to gain ever stronger positions.

This can be obtained through strategic alliances. Three Flemish media groups (De Vijver Media, Mediahuis and Medialaan) are in fact intersections of other groups.

Some expanding takes place through acquisitions, which in their turn may cause the end of certain collaborations between groups.

Another possibility to reinforce the groups general position is through enhancing vertical integration, i.e. taking positions in other links of the value chain..

As described in chapter 1, some groups target certain products at specific groups of the population. This may cause the company to expand or shrink its portfolio.

In the second chapter VRM also examined the cumulation of personal mandates in media companies. Indeed there are a number of persons with a large number of mandates, but this is mainly situated in the local radio segment, and hopefully will be curated when the new frequency schedule has become reality.

In the **3th chapter** a number of indicators are calculated.

According to studies, the average Fleming, although less and less frequently, continues listening to the **radio** to be informed about the current situation.

Financially the radio sector is doing quite well. Radio loses less advertisement revenues because of the internet.

The previous observations about concentration persist, i.e.. because of the very strong position of public service broadcaster VRT.

This concentration problem is partially caused by the scarcity of radio spectrum. Digitisation could help to resolve this problem. Therefore policy makers want to promote the use of DAB+

The online popularity of radio brands was also studied. Here as well public broadcaster VRT's dominance is significant. We can also conclude that radio stations aimed at a younger audience count most visits on their websites and followers on social media.

Television remains a popular medium to inform oneself. In spite of the popularity of new forms of tv-consumption like Netflix, traditional broadcasters stay strong.

Due to new technologies the income models of television have changed. This causes tensions about income division between players. Content producers, aggregators and distributors all want to claim as big a share as possible.

Financial figures show difficulties for regionals broadcasters and high profitability for distributors. We notice a downward trend in number of VOD and the subsequent income.

Telenet, the dominant player on the distribution market, gains positions in other sections of the value chain, by investing more in content. Mediaaan, previously only content producer and aggregator, now also chooses the path of distribution.

In general, within the television sector, we measure a low degree of concentration with production companies, a stronger degree of concentration with broadcasters, and a high degree of concentration in the distribution sector.

Print media face difficulties due to declining sales and falling ad revenues. This is particularly the case for the magazines. The market of magazines is shrinking. Financial figures show high profitability for the big distributor, which is almost a monopolist. Small independent newspaper outlets however face difficulties.

In contrast to magazines, newspapers are managing to (partially) compensate the decline in printed sales with the rise of digital sales. Especially the "quality" newspapers have high digital sales, and those sales have become an important share of the total sales. The so called "popular" newspapers have fewer digital sales but have very popular news sites and social media accounts.

The concentration at newspaper publishers level has dramatically increased through the creation of Mediahuis in 2013. Since then the 7 main Flemish newspapers are published by merely two publishers, De Persgroep and Mediahuis. The market for magazines is somewhat less concentrated.

It is difficult to measure concentration levels of the **Internet**, as a surfer can easily visit a lot of websites, and many popular websites are controlled by foreign companies. Yet we notice, in reference to websites of Flemish media groups, a high concentration in terms of groups and a low concentration in terms of available websites.

Media companies extensively use the possibilities of the internet for their products. Websites, social media and apps have become firm extensions for a lot of media brands from radio, television and print media.

The relative popularity of radio, TV and print media brands in their own medium, is not always reflected on the internet equivalent. News media are very popular; their websites are the most visited ones. They perform well on social media as well, along with media brands that have a rather young image.

The evolution of the **prices of media products** is also studied in the third chapter. The cost for radio, TV and print media rises again in 2016, even faster than the consumer price index. However the indirect cost to enjoy media products, such as the cost of a computer or a smartphone drops (the increasing electricity price is an exception to the rule).

The Flemish media landscape is also situated in an **international context**. Due to the language barrier, it is not easy for Flemish media companies to develop activities abroad. Unsurprisingly, the acquisitions of De Persgroep and Mediahuis take place mainly in The Netherlands.

The internationalisation of the TV-market increases, in every part of the value chain.

In the printed press sector, there is relatively little foreign presence.

In general, different forms of horizontal, vertical and cross medial concentration exist in different segments of the Flemish media sector. For the aggregation of classic media products 80 to 100 % of market share is held by 8 media groups. VRM repeats that concentration can threaten the diversity of the offer.

The policy makers intervene in different manners, which are described in **chapter 4**.

4 Table of contents of the Media Concentration Report 2017

PREFACE

INTRODUCTION

1	THE FLEMISH MEDIASECTOR	13
1.1	RADIO	13
1.1.1	Content Providers	14
1.1.2	Aggregation: radio broadcasters	16
1.1.3	Distribution: radio broadcasting transmission	23
1.2	TELEVISION	27
1.2.1	Content Providers	28
1.2.2	Aggregation: television broadcasters	36
1.2.3	Distribution: television broadcasting transmission	44
1.3	PRINT MEDIA	53
1.3.1	Content Providers	54
1.3.2	Aggregation: publishers	57
1.3.3	Distribution: print media	62
1.4	INTERNET	66
1.4.1	Content Providers	68
1.4.2	Advertising sales	69
1.4.3	Aggregation: website owners	71
1.4.4	Distribution: fixed internet	74
1.4.5	Distribution: mobile internet: app stores	75
1.4.6	Distribution: mobile internet: mobile operators	76
1.5	CONVERGENCE AND CROSS-MEDIA	78
1.5.1	Cross-media trends	78
1.5.2	Convergence	80
1.6	CONCLUSION CHAPTER 1	83
2	MEDIA GROUPS IN FLANDERS	89
2.1	DE PERSGROEP NV	89
2.1.1	Group structure and shareholders	92
2.1.2	Brand portfolio of De Persgroep NV	93
2.1	DE VIJVER MEDIA NV	98
2.2.1	Group structure and shareholders	98
2.2.2	Brand portfolio of De Vijver Media NV	99
2.3	MEDIAHUIS NV	101
2.3.1	Group structure and shareholders	101
2.3.2	Brand portfolio of Mediahuis NV	105
2.4	MEDIALAAN NV	109
2.4.1	Group structure and shareholders	109
2.4.2	Brand portfolio of Mediaaan NV	110
2.5	PROXIMUS NV	113
2.5.1	Group structure and shareholders	113
2.5.2	Brand portfolio of Proximus NV	115
2.6	ROULARTA MEDIA GROUP NV	118
2.6.1	Group structure and shareholders	118
2.6.2	Brand portfolio of Roularta Media Group NV	120
2.7	SANOMA MEDIA BELGIUM NV	125
2.7.1	Group structure and shareholders	125
2.7.2	Brand portfolio of Sanoma Media Belgium NV	128

2.8	studio 100 NV	130
2.8.1	Group structure and shareholders	130
2.8.2	Brand portfolio of Studio 100 NV	132
2.9	TELENET BVBA	134
2.9.1	Group structure and shareholders	134
2.9.2	Brand portfolio of Telenet BVBA	135
2.10	VLAAMSE RADIO– EN TELEVISIEOMROEPORGANISATIE(VRT NV)	139
2.10.1	Group structure and shareholders	139
2.10.2	Brand portfolio of Vlaamse Radio – en Televisieomroeporganisatie	140
2.1	CROSS MEDIALITY AND ENTANGLEMENT OF BRAND PORTFOLIO OF FLEMISH MEDIA GROUPS	144
2.11.1	Crossmediality of Brand portfolio of Flemish Media Groups	144
2.11.2	Entwinement of Flemish Media Groups	144
2.12	DIRECTORS	147
2.13	CONCLUSION CHAPTER 2	148
3	INFORMATION ON MEDIACONCENTRATION	151
3.1	INFORMATION ON MEDIACONCENTRATIE PER MEDIA TYPE	151
3.1.1	Radio	153
3.1.2	Television	162
3.1.3	Print Media	188
3.1.4	Internet	213
3.2	MEDIA GROUPS	222
3.2.1	Analysis based on financial figures	222
3.2.2	Analysis based on audience shares	223
3.3	PRICE EVOLUTION OF MEDIAPRODUCTS	225
3.3.1	Radio and television	225
3.3.2	Print media	227
3.3.3	Internet	228
3.3.4	Price evolution ad space	229
3.4	FLEMISH MEDIA INDUSTRY IN AN INTERNATIONAL CONTEXT	233
3.4.1	Flanders in the world	233
3.4.2	The world in Flanders	236
3.5	CONCLUSION CHAPTER 3	240
4	STIMULATING DIVERSITY – POTENTIAL REMEDIES AND SUGGESTIONS FOR POLICY MAKERS	247
4.1	RESTRICTIONS	247
4.1.1	Ownership restrictions	248
4.1.2	Editorial independence/responsibility	248
4.1.3	Regulation	250
4.1.4	Signal integrity	250
4.1.5	Net Neutrality	250
4.1.6	Switch to DAB+ as a condition for prolongation of radio licenses	251
4.1.7	Opportunities and suggestions for policy makers	251
4.2	COUNTERWEIGHT	255
4.2.1	Stimulation of European and independent productions	255
4.2.2	Must-carry, must-offer and events regulation	255
4.2.3	Public broadcaster VRT NV	257
4.2.4	Fund Pascal Decroos	258
4.2.5	Third-party broadcasting /ideological programmes	259
4.2.6	Subtitling	259
4.2.7	Opportunities and suggestions for policy makers	260

4.3	ECONOMIC INTERVENTION	261
4.3.1	Mediacademie	261
4.3.2	Support for regional television broadcasters	262
4.3.3	Support for the audiovisual industry	262
4.3.4	Screen Flanders	263
4.3.5	Support for innovative media projects	263
4.3.6	Federal measures	263
4.3.7	Opportunities and suggestions for policy makers	264
4.4	TRANSPARENCE	265
4.4.1	Media Concentration Report	265
4.4.2	Audit of the management agreement of the public broadcaster	265
4.4.3	Broadcasting licensing	265
4.4.4	Knowledge Centre for Culture and Media Participation, Electronic News Archive and Mediawijs.be	267
4.4.5	Reporting on net neutrality	267
4.4.6	Diamond project	268
4.4.7	Opportunities and suggestions for policy makers	268
4.5	ORGANIZATIONAL MEASURES	270
4.5.1	Foundation of VRM	270
4.5.2	Belgian and European Competition authorities	271
4.5.3	Opportunities and suggestions for policy makers	271
4.6	CONCLUSION CHAPTER 4	274
4.6.1	Opportunities and suggestions for policy makers	275
5	GENERAL CONCLUSION	279

5 Translation of the list of figures and tables

Figure 1: Value chain of the audiovisual industry	7
Figure 2: Illustration of different forms of media concentration	8
Figure 3: Value chain of radio	14
Table 1: Advertising sales radio	16
Table 2: National public radio stations	17
Table 3: Regional public radio stations	18
Table 4: National private radio stations	19
Table 5: Partnerships between local radio stations	20
Table 6: Other radio stations via cable	21
Table 7: Community radios	22
Table 8: Other radio stations notifications	23
Table 9: Radio transmission operators	24
Table 10: Online music services	26
Figure 4: Value chain of television	28
Table 11: Independent production companies	30
Table 12: Collective management organizations	31
Table 13: Television facility companies	34
Table 14: Advertising sales television	35
Table 15: Public broadcaster - linear television channels	37
Table 16: Private broadcasters - linear television channels	40
Table 17: Regional television broadcasters	42
Figure 5: Regional television in Flanders	43
Table 18: Nonlinear television services	44
Table 19: Platforms for broadcasting transmission	45
Figure 6: Value chain of broadcast transmission	47
Table 20: Broadcasting transmission - coax	48
Table 21: Broadcasting transmission - DSL	49
Table 22: Broadcasting transmission - terrestrial (fixed)	49
Table 23: Broadcasting transmission - terrestrial (mobile)	50
Table 24: Broadcasting transmission - satellite	51
Table 25: Broadcasting transmission - OTT	52
Figure 7: Value chain of print media	54
Table 26: Press agencies	56
Table 27: Photo agencies	56
Table 28: Advertising sales for Flemish paid newspapers	57
Table 29: Media agencies	57
Table 30: Publishers paid newspapers	58
Table 31: Publishers magazines	61
Table 32: Publishers free press	62
Table 33: Distributors newspapers and magazines	63
Table 34: Distributors free press	64
Figure 8: Value chain of the Internet	67
Table 35: Advertising sales for websites	70
Table 36: Websites Flemish media sector	72
Table 37: Flemish (news)sites not linked with another media format	73
Table 38: Most popular social media sites in Flanders	73

Table 39: Internet service providers, network operators and internet access providers	75
Table 40: Most popular app stores in Flanders	75
Table 41: Apps Flemish media groups	76
Table 42: Mobile operators and the network on which they operate (excluding business market)	77
Figure 9: Examples of the difference between cross-mediality and convergence	78
Table 43: Multimedia brands	82
Table 44: Horizontal concentration based on number of players in value chain	85
Table 45: Media groups in Flanders	89
Figure 10: Media groups being part of other media groups	90
Table 46: Legend product overview per group	91
Figure 11: Shareholder structure De Persgroep NV	92
Figure 12: Organogram De Persgroep NV	93
Table 47: Brand overview De Persgroep NV	97
Figure 13: Shareholder structure De Vijver Media NV	98
Figure 14: Organogram De Vijver Media NV	99
Table 48: brand overview De Vijver Media NV	100
Figure 15: Shareholder structure Mediahuis NV	101
Figure 16: Figure 16: Shareholder structure Concentra NV	102
Figure 17: Figure 17: Shareholder structure Corelio NV	103
Figure 18: Organogram Mediahuis NV	104
Table 49: brand overview Mediahuis NV	108
Figure 19: Organogram Medialaan NV	109
Figure 20: Shareholder structure Medialaan NV	110
Table 50: brand overview Medialaan NV	112
Figure 21: Shareholder structure Proximus NV	113
Figure 22: Organogram Proximus NV	114
Table 51: brand overview Proximus NV	117
Figure 23: Shareholder structure Roularta Media Group NV	118
Figure 24: Organogram Roularta Media Group NV	119
Table 52: brand overview Roularta Media Group NV	124
Figure 25: Organogram Sanoma Media Belgium NV	126
Table 53: brand overview Sanoma Media Belgium NV	129
Figure 26: Shareholder structure Studio 100 NV	130
Figure 27: Organogram Studio 100 NV	131
Table 54: brand overview Studio 100 NV	133
Figure 28: Shareholder structure Telenet NV	134
Figure 29: Organogram Telenet NV	135
Table 55: brand overview Telenet NV	138
Figure 30: Organogram VRT NV	139
Figure 31: Shareholder structure VRT NV	139
Table 56: Additional radio products VRT NV	140
Table 57: brand overview VRT NV	143
Table 58: Media groups in Flanders and their offerings	144
Figure 32: Entanglement of media groups in Flanders	146
Table 59: Overview of mandates	147
Table 60: Financial information 2016 public national radio	153
Figure 33: Evolution of average financial values 2007-2016 public national radio	154
Table 61: Financial information 2016 private national radio	154
Figure 34: Evolution of average financial values 2007-2016 private national radio	155

Figure 35: Market share radio station – wave 2017-2	156
Figure 36: Average listening time per radio station – wave 2017-2	156
Figure 37: Market shares radio stations 2008-2017	157
Figure 38: Evolution of concentration indexes radio stations 2008-2017	158
Figure 39: Online popularity radio stations	158
Table 62: Concentration indexes media groups based on listening figures 2008-2017	158
Figure 40: Market share national radio stations	160
Table 63: Number of installations apps radio (Google Play)	160
Figure 41: Proportion of public vs private radio	161
Figure 42: Concentration of mandates in the radio sector	162
Table 64: Financial information 2016 facility companies	164
Figure 43 Evolution of average financial values 2007-2016 facility companies	165
Table 65: Financial information 2016 independent production companies	166
Figure 44: Evolution of average financial values 2007-2016 production companies	167
Figure 45: Evolution of average financial values 2007-2016 public broadcaster	167
Table 66: Financial information 2016 public broadcaster	167
Table 67: Financial information 2016 private broadcaster	168
Figure 46: Evolution of average financial values 2007-2016 private broadcasters	169
Figure 47: Financial information 2016 exploitation companies regional television	170
Table 68: Evolution of average financial values 2007-2016 exploitation companies regional television	170
Figure 48: Mean, maximum and minimum of the daily reach (percentages) of the nine regional broadcasters	171
Table 69: Financial information 2016 network operators and access providers ('000 euros, except employees)	172
Figure 49: Evolution of average financial values 2007-2016 broadcasting transmission	173
Figure 50: Evolution of VOD number of hits and revenues with intervention of broadcasters 2007-2015	174
Figure 51: Evolution of VOD number of hits and revenues without intervention of broadcasters 2007-2015	174
Table 70: Television production companies with 5 or more productions in first half of 2017	176
Table 71: Television production companies in the top 100 of most watched TV programmes 2009-2015	177
Shares TV channels in average viewing time 2016 (entire population)	178
Table 72: Concentration indexes production companies based on number of productions 201	178
Figure 53: Evolution shares TV channels in average viewing time 2007-2016	179
Figure 54: Evolution of concentration indexes TV broadcasters 2006-2016	180
Table 73: Concentration indexes TV broadcasters based on average viewing time 2006-2016	180
Table 74: Number of Flemish productions scheduled by tv channels	182
Figure 55: Online popularity TV channels	183
Figure 56: Market shares media groups TV	183
Table 75: Number of installations apps TV (Google Play)	184
Figure 57: Evolution of number of cable subscribers (coax and IPTV) 2008-2016	185
Table 76: Popularity of Flemish OTT players	186
Figure 58: Concentration of mandates in the TV sector	187
Table 77: Financial information 2016 press agencies	188
Table 78: Financial information 2016 photo agencies	189
Table 79: Financial information 2016 media agencies	189
Table 80: Financial information 2016 publishers newspapers	190
Figure 59: Evolution of average financial values 2007-2016 publishers newspapers (without Mediahuis in 2016)	191

Table 81: Financial information 2016 publishers magazines	192
Figure 60: Evolution of average financial values 2007-2016 publishers magazines	193
Figure 61: Evolution of average financial values 2007-2016 publishers free press (without Mediahuis NV in 2016)	194
Table 82: Financial information 2016 publishers free press (without Mediahuis in 2016)	194
Figure 62: Evolution of average financial values 2007-2016 publishers free press (with exception of Roularta Media Group, De Persgroep, Mediahuis)	195
Table 83: Financial information 2016 distributors print media	195
Figure 63: Evolution of average financial values 2007-2016 distributors print media	196
Figure 64: Paid circulation newspapers 2011-2016	197
Figure 65: Paid circulation newspapers 2011-2016: subscription vs single copy sales	198
Figure 66: Market shares paid circulation newspapers 2014-2016	198
Figure 67: Market share print edition newspapers 2014-2016	199
Table 84: Market shares newspapers (circulation) 2006-2016	200
Figure 68: Evolution of concentration indexes newspapers (paid circulation)	201
Table 85: Concentration indexes newspapers (paid circulation) 2007-2016	201
Table 86: Evolution of concentration indexes paid circulation newspapers 2007-2016	201
Figure 69: Online popularity newspapers	202
Figure 70: Market shares newspapers	203
Figure 71: Market shares media groups newspapers	203
Table 87: Number of installations apps newspapers (Google Play)	204
Figure 72: Paid circulation magazines 2012-2016	205
Figure 73: Paid circulation magazines 2016: subscription vs single copy sales	206
Figure 74: Market shares most popular magazines	206
Figure 75: Market shares publishers of top 20 magazines 2015	207
Figure 76: Concentration indexes magazines (paid circulation)	208
Table 88: Evolution of concentration indexes paid circulation magazines 2007-2015	208
Figure 77: Online popularity magazines – website visits	209
Figure 78: Online popularity magazines – social media	210
Figure 79: Market shares magazines	211
Figure 80: Market shares media groups magazines	211
Table 89: Number of installations apps magazines (Google Play)	212
Figure 81: Concentration of mandates in the print media sector	213
Table 90: Financial information 2016 internet service providers, network operators and internet access providers	214
Figure 82: Number of Flemings having an account on social media sites	216
Figure 83: Online popularity – top 10 websites	216
Figure 84: Online popularity (news)sites not linked to another media format	217
Figure 85: Market shares media groups internet	218
Table 91: Concentration indexes media websites (based on visits) 2011-2016	218
Figure 86: Evolution of concentration indexes media websites 2011-2016	219
Table 92: Online popularity Belgian websites 2012-2016	219
Figure 87: Online popularity – social media top 10	220
Figure 88: Number of available applications per operating system-native app store	221
Table 93: Number of installations apps (Google Play)	221
Table 94: Financial information 2016 media groups	222
Figure 89: Evolution of average financial values 2007-2016 media groups	223
Figure 90: Market shares media groups	224
Figure 91: Evolution of costs for radio and television	226
Figure 92: Evolution of costs for electricity	226

Figure 93: Evolution of prices for basic subscription television (including author rights; excluding VAT)	227
Figure 94: Prices cheapest triple play bundle (monthly costs excluding one-off costs)	227
Figure 95: Evolution of the price index for newspapers	228
Figure 96: Evolution of the price index for magazines	228
Figure 97:: Evolution of the price index for computers	229
Figure 98:: Evolution of the price index for mobile phones	229
Table 95: Evolution of costs for advertising per 1000 contacts	230
Table 96: Evolution advertising investments 2012-2016	231
Table 97: Media agencies billing 2012-2015	232
Table 98: Largest media companies worldwide, their 2016 revenues and media activities	237
Table 99: Foreign broadcasting companies addressing the Flemish market	238
Table 100: Overview of concentration indexes (HHI)	242
Table 101: Overview of policies on media concentration and pluralism (Cavallin)	247
Table 102: Examples of must-offer obligations	256
Figure 99:: Situating policy measures in the value chain	273
Figure 100: Situating policy suggestions in the value chain	276

Vlaamse Regulator voor de Media (VRM)

The mission of the *Vlaamse Regulator voor de Media (VRM)* or Flemish Regulator for the Media, is to enforce the media regulations in the Flemish Community, settle disputes related to the media regulations and issue media recognition and licenses in accordance with the regulations. It consists of two chambers, a general chamber and a chamber for impartiality and the protection of minors.

In Belgium, each cultural community has its own audiovisual media services regulatory body: the Flemish regulator for the Media (VRM) in the Flemish-speaking community, the High Council for the Audiovisual sector (CSA) in the French-speaking community, and the Media Council (Medienrat) in the German community. Radio and television broadcasting in the Brussels Capital Region, as far it concerns the two communities, is regulated by BIPT (Belgian Institute for Postal services and Telecommunications). BIPT is a federal administration that is the regulator for electronic communications, the electromagnetic spectrum of radio frequencies, the audiovisual media in the Brussels-Capital Region and the postal market.

<http://www.vlaamseregulatormedia.be/en>

<http://www.csa.be/>

<http://www.medienrat.be/>

<http://www.bipt.be/>

Since 2008, VRM publishes a yearly report on media concentration in Flanders. VRM aims to make the report, written in Dutch, accessible for the international public. That's why VRM chose to write an English guide for the 2016 version of the report. The document contains on the one hand a 'manual' for interpreting the Flemish report and on the other hand a translation of the main conclusions of the report.

This English document, as well as the report, can be found on the English version of the website of VRM

<http://www.vlaamseregulatormedia.be/en> .